

# Continuous distribution of frequencies and deformed dispersion relations

Abel Camacho\*

*Departamento de Física, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa  
Apartado Postal 55-534, C.P. 09340, México, D.F., México.*

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

## Abstract

The possibilities that, in the realm of the detection of the so-called deformed dispersion relation, a light source with a continuous distribution of frequencies offers is discussed. It will be proved that the presence of finite coherence length entails the emergence of a new term in the interference pattern. This is a novel trait, which renders a new possibility in the quest for bounds associated with these deformed dispersion relations.

PACS numbers:

Keywords:

---

\*Electronic address: [acq@xanum.uam.mx](mailto:acq@xanum.uam.mx)

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the extant literature many ideas can be found whose goal is a quantum theory of gravity. Some of them entail the modification of the dispersion relation [1]. These approaches appear in different realms, for instance, quantum-gravity approaches based upon non-commutative geometry [2, 3], or loop-quantum gravity models [4, 5], etc. In them Lorentz symmetry becomes only an approximation for quantum space [6, 7]. Though concerning the feasibility of detecting this kind of changes some advance has already been done, it must be strongly underlined that these experimental proposals have always embraced light sources containing a discrete number of frequencies [1, 8, 9], where each one of these beams has vanishing frequency width.

The last condition has always been introduced as an idealization, and thereby, supposedly, an advantage in the analysis of the situation has always been present. In the present work, the case of a beam with non-vanishing width frequency will be considered, i.e., a finite coherence length will be an important trait of the experimental idea.

It will be shown that this novel feature not only does not entail a more cumbersome situation (as could be guessed since we lose an *idealization*), but it yields a new term in the interference pattern, absent in the previous analyses, the one hinges upon the ratio between Planck's length and the longitudinal coherence of the corresponding beam. This fact implies the presence of an additional possibility in the detection of this kind of quantum gravity effects.

## II. FINITE LONGITUDINAL COHERENCE LENGTH AND QUANTUM-GRAVITY EFFECTS

Several quantum-gravity models predict a modified dispersion relation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], the one can be characterized, from a phenomenologically through corrections hinging upon Planck's length, i.e.,  $l_p$

$$E^2 = p^2 \left[ 1 - \alpha \left( E l_p \right)^n \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\alpha$  is a coefficient, usually of order 1 and whose precise value depends upon the

considered quantum-gravity model, and  $n$ , the lowest power in Planck's length leading to a non-vanishing contribution, is also model dependent. In ordinary units (1) becomes

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 \left[ 1 - \alpha \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^n \right]. \quad (2)$$

Recalling that

$$p = \hbar k. \quad (3)$$

We conclude

$$k = \frac{E/(c\hbar)}{\left[ 1 - \alpha \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^n \right]^{1/2}}. \quad (4)$$

The modifications are quite small, then the following expansion is justified

$$k = \frac{E}{c\hbar} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^n + \frac{3}{8} \alpha^2 \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^{2n} + \dots \right]. \quad (5)$$

Let us now consider the so-called spectral distribution function,  $P(\omega)$ , defined as

$$P(\omega) = I(\omega)/I_0. \quad (6)$$

Here we have that  $I_0$  denotes the integrated intensity of our source

$$I_0 = \int_0^\infty I(\omega) d\omega. \quad (7)$$

In these two last expressions it has been, implicitly, assumed that our sources have attached, as corresponding parameters, integrable functions. If a more general situation is to be analyzed, then we may resort to the definition of the spectral distribution function in terms of the density of photons in the differential interval  $d^3k$ , which in turn can be deduced, for a thermal equilibrium source, from the mean photon number  $\langle n_{\vec{k},s} \rangle$  ( $\vec{k}$  denotes the direction of propagation, while  $s$  is the polarization for each  $\vec{k}$ ) [10]

$$\langle n_{\vec{k},s} \rangle = [\exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T) - 1]^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

Here  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant, and  $T$  the temperature.

Let us restrict ourselves to the case in which the corresponding functions are integrable, then the interference pattern to be detected in a Michelson interferometer, assuming that

the detector cannot respond to the beat frequencies produced on the surface of the detector by two waves reads [10]

$$I = I_0 \left[ 1 + \gamma(\tau) \right], \quad (9)$$

where

$$\gamma(\tau) = \int_0^\infty P(\omega) \cos(\omega\tau) d\omega, \quad (10)$$

is usually called the degree of coherence, and

$$\tau = 2d/c', \quad (11)$$

is the so-called retardation time. In other words, it is the difference in the arrival time (at the detector) originated in our Michelson interferometer due to the fact that in this kind of device there are two arms with an optical path length showing a difference of  $d$  [10]. In our case we have a more complicated situation since now the speed, say  $c'$ , has a non-trivial energy dependence [9]

$$c' = c \left[ 1 - \alpha \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^n \right]^{1/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha n \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^n}{1 - \alpha \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right)^n} \right]^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

Clearly, setting  $\alpha = 0$  in this last equation renders the usual speed of light, namely, an expression independent of the energy of the beam.

In the following we will consider the case in which  $n = 1$ , this situation can be easily found in the realm of non-commutative geometry [1]. Under this restriction we have that

$$\omega\tau = \frac{2dE}{c\hbar} \frac{1 + (\alpha/2)E\sqrt{G/(c^5\hbar)}}{\left[ 1 - \alpha \left( E \sqrt{G/(c^5\hbar)} \right) \right]^{3/2}}. \quad (13)$$

### III. FINITE COHERENCE LENGTH AND DEFORMED DISPERSION RELATIONS

Clearly, the analysis of the feasibility of the present proposal requires some particular expression for the spectral distribution function, see (6). At this point two cases will be analyzed.

#### A. Gas at low pressure

For a gas at low pressure, in thermal equilibrium, the spectral distribution function has a Gaussian form [10], in which the corresponding linewidth, say  $\tau_d$ , is related to the physical properties of the gas by the following expression

$$\tau_d^2 = \frac{c^2 m}{8\omega_0^2 \kappa T}, \quad (14)$$

$$P(\omega) = \tau_d \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left[-(\omega - \omega_0)^2 (\tau_d/2)^2\right]. \quad (15)$$

Here  $\omega_0$  denotes the center of the frequency,  $m$  the molecules mass,  $T$  the temperature, and finally,  $\kappa$  Boltzmann constant.

After a messy integration process we deduce that the corresponding degree of coherence reads

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(d) = & \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left[-\frac{31}{32}\left(\frac{z_0 \tau_d}{2b\hbar}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b\hbar d}{\tau_d}\right)^2\right] \\ & \times \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \left( e^{z_0 d/16} D_{(-1)}\left(\sqrt{2}\left[\frac{z_0 d}{8b\hbar} + i\frac{b\hbar d}{\tau_d}\right]\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + e^{-z_0 d/16} D_{(-1)}\left(\sqrt{2}\left[\frac{z_0 d}{8b\hbar} - i\frac{b\hbar d}{\tau_d}\right]\right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 6\alpha \frac{l_p}{l_d} \left( e^{z_0 d/16} D_{(-2)}\left(\sqrt{2}\left[\frac{z_0 d}{8b\hbar} + i\frac{b\hbar d}{\tau_d}\right]\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + e^{-z_0 d/16} D_{(-2)}\left(\sqrt{2}\left[\frac{z_0 d}{8b\hbar} - i\frac{b\hbar d}{\tau_d}\right]\right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In the last expression  $l_d = c\tau_d$  denotes the coherence length associated to our beam, see [10], whereas,  $l_p$  is Planck's length, and,  $b = 2/(c\hbar)$ ,  $z_0 = \frac{2E_0}{c\hbar} \left[1 + 2\alpha E_0 \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)}\right]$ . In addition,  $D_{(-1)}$  and  $D_{(-2)}$  are the so-called parabolic cylinder functions [11].

## B. Gas at high pressure

For a gas at high pressure the spectral distribution function has a Lorentzian form [10]

$$P(\omega) = \frac{B}{\arctg(-\omega_0/B)} \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + B^2}. \quad (17)$$

In this case the coherence time is  $\tau_d = B^{-1}$ , and in consequence the coherence length reads  $l_d = c/B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(d) = & \frac{\pi \cos(z_0 d)}{2 \arctg(-\omega_0/B)} \left[ 1 - 3\alpha l_p z_0 \right] e^{-2d/l_d} \\ & - \frac{\sin(z_0 d)}{2 \arctg(-\omega_0/B)} \left[ 1 - 3\alpha l_p z_0 \right] \\ & \times \left( e^{-2d/l_d} \hat{E}_i(2d/l_d) - e^{2dl_d} E_i(-2d/l_d) \right) \\ & + 3 \frac{l_p}{l_d} \frac{\alpha}{\arctg(-\omega_0/B)} \\ & \times \left\{ \cos(z_0 d) \left( e^{-2d/l_d} \hat{E}_i(2d/l_d) + e^{2dl_d} E_i(-2d/l_d) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \pi e^{-2d/l_d} \sin(z_0 d) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In (18) the following definition has been introduced

$$z_0 = \frac{2E_0}{c\hbar} \left[ 1 + 2\alpha E_0 \sqrt{G/(c^5 \hbar)} \right]. \quad (19)$$

Where  $E_0$  is the energy related to  $\omega_0$ , and  $\hat{E}_i$ ,  $E_i$  are the so-called related exponential integral and exponential integral function [11], respectively. A careful analysis of the effect of a small coherence length can be done noting that the so-called parabolic cylinder functions are closely related to the Hermite polynomials [11], and in consequence the degree of coherence function becomes, in terms of the coherence length, a polynomial, the one is quite easy to analyze for the aforementioned situation.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

A fleeting glimpse at (16) and (18) shows us that a new term, absent in all previous work in this context [1, 9], emerges, namely, the one hinging upon  $l_p/l_d$ . This fact that can readily

be explained. Indeed, in the aforementioned proposals the coherence length involved has always been infinite, since they consider monochromatic beams. In other words, the possibility of detecting a modified dispersion relation seems to improve if the involved beam has a finite coherence length.

In order to fathom better some of the consequences that the presence of a deformed dispersion relation could have upon an interference experiment, let us look at the case of a gas at low pressure. It is readily seen that in this situation the coherence length appears in the extra terms not only as part of the argument of the so called cylinder parabolic functions, see (16). This novel dependence of the degree of coherence function upon  $l_d$  is absent in the usual situation, i.e., when  $\alpha = 0$ , and implies that the way in which  $\gamma(d)$  changes as a function of  $l_d$  could be exploited to confront the prediction of the present model against measurement readouts. As for the case of a gas at high pressure it is noteworthy to mention that the situation  $\alpha \neq 0$  entails the presence of the same kind of modifications in  $\gamma(d)$ , as in the previous analysis, and therefore the conclusions are also valid.

Under ideal circumstances, and by this phrase we mean  $l_p \sim l_d$ , the order of magnitude of the new term, see (16) and (18), will have the same order of magnitude as the usual contribution. In a more realistic situation, the order of magnitude depends, crucially, upon the value of  $l_p/l_d$ . As the coherence length gets closer to Planck's length the role of the deformation becomes more relevant in the determination of  $\gamma(d)$ .

In our results we have that the presence of a deformed dispersion relation embraces not only the usual contribution, but a new one, which is a little bit puzzling, since it predicts interference even with a very small coherence length. The answer to this paradox stems from the fact that here we are dealing not with the usual electrodynamics, but with a modification of it, and therefore we shall expect the emergence of new effects. In other words, the possibility of having interference, even with a very small coherence length, is a novel trait appearing as a consequence of the effects of quantum gravity upon the usual electromagnetic radiation.

Let us analyze a little deeper the case of a gas at low temperature. Demanding  $l_p/l_d \sim 1$ , and accepting the validity of the Theorem of Equipartition of Energy [12] we have

$$\langle v^2 \rangle \sim c^2 \frac{E_0}{E_p}. \quad (20)$$

Here  $E_0$  denotes the energy associated to  $\omega_0$ , see (15). If we consider an optical transition,

i.e.,  $E_0 \sim 1e - V$ , then

$$\sqrt{\langle v^2 \rangle} \sim 10^{-6} cm/s. \quad (21)$$

Resorting, once again, to the Theorem of Equipartition of Energy, and assuming particles with a mass of  $m \sim 10^{-24}g$ , we deduce that the gas must be at a temperature of

$$T \sim 10^{-14}K. \quad (22)$$

At this order of magnitude of the temperature Boltzmann statistics is not valid [12], and in consequence one of our assumptions, i.e., the Theorem of Equipartition of Energy cannot be employed. The analysis of more promising situations, for instance, gamma ray bursts unavoidably requires the knowledge of the corresponding spectral distribution function.

Summing up, it has been shown, resorting to two different situations for the spectral distribution function, namely Gaussian and Lorentzian cases, that the presence of a finite longitudinal coherence length for the spectral distribution function entails the emergence of a new term in the interference pattern the one depends upon the ratio between Planck's length and the coherence length, i.e.,  $l_p/l_d$ , the one is absent in the usual analysis, in which  $l_d \rightarrow \infty$ . The main lesson to be elicited is the fact that an ideal beam (as those considered in previous proposals, see [9], for instance) will not render an interference pattern containing those terms proportional to  $l_p/l_d$ , and hence the possibility of detecting deformed dispersion relations could be, in the context of them, more difficult to do.

Finally, let us address the issue of the possibilities of the present technology, if one tries to carry out this kind of experiment in a laboratory. Clearly, (22) shows us that a gas in the classical limit will not be a solution to the experimental problem. Now, since we require  $l_p/l_d$  not much smaller than 1, then we need a coherence time,  $\tau_d$ , such that it fulfills the condition  $l_p/\tau_d \sim c$ , and this implies  $\tau_d \sim 10^{43}s$ . Considering that, in a very rough approximation, the coherence length of a He-Ne laser reads  $l_d \sim 10cm$ , then the corresponding coherence time is  $\tau_d \sim 10^{-9}s$ , quite far away from our requirement. These last arguments discard any experiment carried out with a laser, which, by the way, is the human-made device with the largest coherence time. Hence, it seems that we must consider astrophysical sources, for instance, Gamma Ray Busts [13], in order to have this kind of coherence times.



## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by CONACYT Grant 42191–F. The author would like to thank A.A. Cuevas–Sosa and A. Macías for useful discussions and literature hints. Additionally, it has to be acknowledged the enrichment that the comments and remarks of the referee have brought to the present work.

---

- [1] G. Amelino–Camelia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D9**, 1633 (2003).
- [2] G. Amelino–Camelia and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 036005 (2001).
- [3] G. Amelino–Camelia, Nature **418**, 661 (2000).
- [4] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 124021 (1999).
- [5] L. Smolin, LANL hep–th/0303185.
- [6] G. Amelino–Camelia, Nature **398**, 216 (1999).
- [7] G. Amelino–Camelia, J. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos, D. V. Nanopoulos, and S. Sarkar, Nature **393** (London), 763 (1998); J. Alfaro, H. A. Morales–Tecotl, and L. F. Urrutia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2318 (2000); D. Sudarsky, L. F. Urrutia, and H. Vucetich, “New Observational Bounds to Quantum Gravity Signals”, LANL, gr–qc/0204027.
- [8] G. Amelino–Camelia, Nature **398**, 216 (1999).
- [9] G. Amelino–Camelia and C. Lämmerzahl, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 899–915 (2004).
- [10] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
- [11] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York (1980).
- [12] R. K. Pathria, *Statistical Mechanics*, Butterworth and Heinemann, Oxford (1996).
- [13] Yi–Ping Qin, LANL astro–ph/0411365.